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The cycloaddition properties of [60]fullerene containing attached olefinic centres is investigated and exploited to prepare new chelating agents attached to [60]fullerene.

Buckminsterfullerene [60]fullerene is especially reactive in cycloaddition reactions and has been shown to participate as a $2 \pi$ component in $[2+1],[2+2],[4+2]$ and higher order cycloadditions, under thermal, ${ }^{1}$ photochemical ${ }^{2}$ or high-pressure conditions ${ }^{3}$ so that a wide variety of [60]fullerene adducts is now available. As there are six equivalent $\pi$ centres in [60]fullerene, addition often occurs at more than one centre and polyadducts have been observed in some cases. ${ }^{4}$

In the development of functionalised [60]fullerene derivatives, single cycloaddition reactions have been utilised to attach prefunctionalised dienes to [60]fullerene; the 'ball and chain' adduct 1 reported by Khan et al. ${ }^{5}$ typifies the type of complex product available by this approach.

Our own interest in cycloaddition reactions, however, took us down a track which has been little explored to date in [60]fullerene chemistry. This involves the cycloaddition chemistry onto $\pi$ centres already attached by $\sigma$ bonds to the [60]fullerene. Thus we were interested in the cycloaddition properties of the known, norbornene-containing adducts $2^{6}$ and 5.7

The reverse electron-demand diene 3,6-di(2-pyridyl)-s- tetrazine $^{8}$ reacted site-specifically at the external site with 2 and 5 to produce cycloadducts, e.g. 6, which were readily oxidised to $3,6-\mathrm{di}\left(2^{\prime}\right.$-pyridyl)pyridazine adducts 4 and 7 , respectively (Scheme 1). These compounds represent the first example of a new class of polydentate nitrogen ligands $\dagger$ incorporating an attached [60]fullerene moiety. Spectral data and mass spectrometry supported the assigned structures. In particular, the downfield shift of the aliphatic proton resonances in 4 and 7 are typical of [60]fullerene adducts, while electrospray mass
spectrometry confirmed their $1: 1$ nature. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum supported the $C_{s}$ symmetry demanded by these adducts, and the sharpness of the resonances attested to the rigid nature of the carbon scaffolding linking the ligand to the [60]fullerene.

Reaction of norbornene 2 with DMAD was only productive in the presence of $\left[\mathrm{RuH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ as catalyst ${ }^{11}$ and formed cyclobutene 3 which demonstrates further the ability of 2 to react specifically at the norbornene $\pi$ centre. Spectral data $\ddagger$ are consistent with the expected exo-specific addition occurring at the norbornenyl $\pi$ centre to form cyclobutene 3. In simple alicyclic systems, this type of activated cyclobutene undergoes Diels-Alder cycloaddition with a range of regular electrondemand dienes: ${ }^{12}$ in contrast, efforts to conduct cycloaddition with 3 and cyclopentadiene, 3,4-dimethoxyfuran ${ }^{13}$ and hemicyclone gave spectral evidence for the formation of polysubstitution products rather than site-selective monoadducts.§

We have conducted preliminary examination of the FMO orbitals of the [60]fullerene olefins 2,3 and 5 . In each case semi-empirical data $\mathbb{T}$ indicates that the electron density resides on the [60]fullerene in both the HOMO and LUMO and while this is in keeping with the lack of specificity observed in the reaction of regular dienes with these [60]fullerene derivatives it is not helpful in accounting for the external site selectivity observed in the reverse-electron demand reaction. Recourse to sub- and super-adjacent orbital contribution does not clarify these results and more extensive calculation may be required to shed light on these cycloadditions.

In conclusion, we have found a simple entry to [60]fullerene derivatives containing polydentate ligands which can be
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Scheme 1 i, DMAD $\left[\mathrm{RuH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, toluene, reflux; ii, 3,6-di(2-pyridyl)-s-tetrazine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux; iii, DDQ
achieved in a two-step procedure from readily available starting materials. Metal complexation on these and other [60]fullerene ligands will be reported in due course.
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## Footnotes

$\dagger 3,6-\mathrm{Di}(2-$ pyridyl)pyridazine is a recognised ligand and forms complexes with a variety of metals, ${ }^{9}$ and has recently been attached to rigid spacer molecules. ${ }^{10}$
$\ddagger$ Selected spectroscopic data: 3; $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.23,(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 161.31,155.73,150.49,147.45$, $146.95,146.09,146.06,146.03,145.97,145.53,145.48,145.45,145.40$, $145.32,145.17,144.66,144.52,143.11,143.05,142.87,142.81,142.67$, $142.60,142.16,142.13,142.11,142.01,141.16,140.70,140.50,140.43$, 77.22, 69.83, 52.69, 52.13, 46.81, 37.94.

4; mp $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54,(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 155.58,152.50,150.11$, $149.60,147.99,146.91,146.07,146.02,146.00,145.70,145.49,145.28$, $145.14,144.63,144.50,143.09,142.91,142.84,142.69,142.61,142.20$, $142.16,142.08,141.18,140.74,140.51,140.32,136.97,135.72,124.07$, 123.13, 67.62, 48.52, 46.35, 41.94; MS: m/z $1019.5\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$7 \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 155.97,154.88,149.10,147.25,146.75$, $146.36,146.14,146.11,146.02,145.88,145.49,145.45,145.36,145.02$, $144.52,144.30,142.96,142.78,142.63,142.60,142.32,142.08,141.97$,
$141.96,141.91,140.22,139.46,137.47,136.90,136.02,124.23,122.81$, $74.33,56.36,45.01$; MS: $m / z 993.3$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).
§Similar cycloadditions on to 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ also gave only polysubstituted products.
IThe three semi-empirical techniques AM1, MNDO, PM3 gave essentially identical results. Calculations were performed using the SPARTAN V3.1 package.
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